Secretariat

Confidential

App. F. IX-4

1935-36
(Mysore)

Mysore File No. 1-35
Serial Nos. 5-7

Previous References

Mys 108-33-1-2
Mys 171-31-1-13
Mys 132-21-1-2
Mys File No 22 Serial Nos 1-14

Letter References.

Mys 122-30
Mem 163-30
Mys 19-34-7-3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5-7

Part B for November 1938

SUBJECT.

Re: Management of Sri Lakshmi Venkataramanaswamy Temple Chikpet Bangalore City—

No 564 dt 31-10-38

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

Mr Lodd Balamiddanda Dss
Mr T. S. Ramaswami Iyer B.A.,
Advocate Bangalore City

Informed that the Persons Order

Re- Labours for Vaikathasi Visakha festival
Temple. Arrangements

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mis. File No. — of 193 — [App. F. III-2

Petition of 11.4.1935 filed by Mr. S. V. Ranga Rao Clerk to Mr. Advocate Shri Pasupathi on behalf of his client Lord Balasubramanya Swami Lord of Govindoor No 462. Who States his willingness to take part in the Management of Sri Vaikasi Visakha Festival Temple - Shri Pasupathi - and that to make amends for the negligence of the family he will draw Rs 20,000/- for the Madras High Court and utilise it in the Manner suggested therein for the benefit of the Temple

H

(1) The Hereditary Trustee Shri [illegible] in his order No. R. Dis. 10 dated 5th Sept. 1930 that the petitioner will be permitted to continue as Manager of the temple subject to certain conditions, if he executes a muchalika agreeing to the conditions. The Govt confirmed this order. (Vide Govt Order No. 1423 Judl. dated 15th [illegible] The petitioner states that he is willing to abide by the conditions laid down in the Hereditary Trustee's order & prays he wants permission to build an upper storey to the shops, which he is required to build, i.e.

D
P. G. Rs.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

It is a point for orders whether he is to be permitted to build rooms for his personal use in the compound of a temple, over which he has no proprietory rights. (Vide the orders of Govt in the matter). D

The petitioner has not yet built the shops which he was asked to build to improve the resources of the temple before applying for permission to continue as manager of the temple. (Vide the Muzrai Comr.'s order of 5th sept. 1930). Nor has he executed a muchalika agreeing to the conditions laid down in the Muzrai Commissioner's order of 5th Sept. 1930. H H

May the petitioner be informed that he should apply to the Muzrai Comr. to appoint him as manager of the temple after fulfilling the conditions laid down in the Muzrai Commissioner's order of 5th sept. 1930 and executing a muchalika as required in it? H

Submitted for orders.

23.7.35. The present petr. is, as he says, the son of Mr. Govind Gavindas and Govt are not aware if the latter has authorised his son to act on his behalf. Petr. has himself stated on page 3 of

66 835

Re: Management of Sri Lakshmi Venkataramana Swami Temple Mo Lakshmipuram Bangalore & enq-

General and Revenue Secretariat | M(3) File No. | of 1935 6 | [App. F. III-2

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
24 JULY 1935
579

The petition (at the bottom of the page) that there is now pending in the High Court a partition suit between him & his father in respect of the family properties including the right of the family to the management of the temple & other charities. Unless this case is settled & his claim to ~~[illegible]~~ step into his father's shoes in respect of this temple is ~~[illegible]~~ established ~~[illegible]~~ his petition cannot be entertained at all. If approved the petr. may be informed accdy

23/7/35

Gen: Secy)

5) The portion may also be sanctioned in the Land Secn. 1/8.

Land Sec

Land Sec 31/7

6) The petitioner wants an order from Govt to the effect that they will be willing to Consider his claims to take part in the management the affairs of the instn. A partition suit between himself & his father is said to be pending before the H.C. of Madras. Beyond what is stated in the petn now presented, Govt are not aware of all the details of this Mc C

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Under the Circumstances it does not seem to be desirable to give an undertaking as prayed for.

TSD 7/8

Forwarded with compliments to the Govt advocate for favour of perusal

8/8

Returned with compliments.

In Muzrai Appeal No. 1 of 1931-32 it was held that the Temple is a Muzrai Institution and that Lodd Govindas or his family had no sorts of proprietory rights therein. The order of the Muzrai Commissioner which was confirmed by Government indicated that Mr. Govindas would be permitted to continue as Manager provided he executed a mutchalika accepting the conditions detailed in it. Mr. Govindas, the appellant before Government, does not seem to have complied with those conditions yet.

The present petitioner is the son of Mr. Lodd Govindas and he offers to abide by the conditions mentioned by the Muzrai Commissioner and agrees to execute the required Mutchalika. As, according to the decision in the Muzrai Appeal, Lodd Govindas has no rights over the institution, it is left to Government to consider Mr. Balmukundoss' petition on its own merits. As he is a member of the same family there could be no objection to Government recognising him as Manager provided he complies with all the conditions mentioned in the Muzrai Commissioner's order.

It is

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 16 AUG 1935

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193 .

Serial No.

(1) It is only with respect to condition No.4 that Mr. Balmukundoss offers some qualifications. Whether the upper story which he offers to construct may be allowed to be reserved for the occupation of the members of the family is for the Government to consider. As he only says that it might be reserved for their/use when they visit Bangalore in the course of the management and tha they shall not claim any proprietory interests therein there may be no disadvantage in agreeing to those terms. It may, however, be expressly mentioned that his right to occupy the upper story will terminate when his management ceases.

(2) A reference has also been made in the petition to ~~the~~ a pending litigation in the High Court of Madras wherein the right of the family to the management of the temple and other charities is also in question. That litigation is of no importance in this connection, as in the light of the decision in the Muzrai Appeal, it is open now to Government to appoint whomsoever it likes as the Manager of the Temple in question. As Mr. Lodd Govindas has, however, expressed his intention to comply with the Muzrai Commissioner's Order, it would be desirable to give him the first refusal. If he does not agree to comply with those terms, I think, Mr. Balmukundoss's prayer may be granted.

A.

V. Krishnaswami Iyer
Government Advocate.
16.8.1930.

H.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8 7 30

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

The prayer of Lodd Balkumaradoss is that the previous order of Govt in Mur appeal* of 31.32 be reconsidered and that "he and his heirs in Succession be recognised as sole dharmadarsis under rule 6(2) of the Rules published in G.O No. 1933-4/Mur 226-26-6 dated 21.4.1928" — I do not think this is in any way an unconditional acceptance of the terms laid down in the Mur Comr's order of 5-9-30 and confirmed in the G.O. of 10-4-1933. That order does not recognise any family hug regarding management. Moreover it was an offer made to Lodd Govinda das and not to his sons — Lodd Govinda Das evidently did not accept the terms offered and I do not know where he has now expressed his intention to abide by the conditions as stated at 'A' in the Govt advocate's note ~~of~~ (1) above. There is therefore, perhaps no ~~occasion to reject~~ occasion now to give Lodd Govinda Das the benefit of a first refusal —

As regards Mr Balkumaradoss's

* Mr A. R. Nagaswami Ayr had appeared for Mr Lodd Govinda in the appeal

DNL

prayer, unless he agrees to give up all claims to a family ~~hug~~ hug, even as regards management - and agrees to act as sole Dharmadarsi, subject to the conditions laid down in the Hon'ble Court's order of 5-9-30, I do not think there is any reason to grant his request for a reconsideration of the orders already passed -

Asst Secy, Mur
with compts

Genl: Secy)

16) The Murrai Court should also be communicated as approved

II Number)

16)

Mag. Br.
W.C.

Revenue no 12-35
18

17) Forwarded with compliments to the Magrai Commr. R.D. for favour of remarks.

B.O.

For Secretary
17/9/35

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Re: Management of Sri Lakshmi Venkataramana Swamy Temple - Chickpet. Bangalore City.

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

M.O. file
Ref 32/35-36
18-2-36-35

The position does not seem to have much changed since the unofficial opinion dated 12th October 1935. This is only a further attempt on the part of Mr. Rama Balamukunda Doss to establish a hereditary right for the management of the temple which has further failed. I am of opinion that it is not expedient to recede from the position Government have taken up till now and modify the previous orders.

Submitted.

Mr Chari
Mzrai Commissioner 24/2

Submitted with reference to
order dated 16/9/35 on the last
page.

1) Mr Balamukund Doss will be informed that if he accepts the Govt's decision without any qualifications his request to be appointed Manager will be considered.

2) It does not seem desirable to permit him to build an upstairs structure for use of Mr Chari's family when they visit Bangalore. The condition that they would use it only when they come for work connected with the institution will be difficult to enforce in practice

2590
27/10

Joint Member 27
Re 2), it may be simply said that the previous order cannot be modified. 25/10 27/10 29/10.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Way File No. of 1935

Serial No.

(1) D/L Ltd

C.V 30/10

Letter No 664 dt 31.10.1935 from Lord Bala Mukhopadhyay c/o Mr. T.S. Ramaswami Iyer. Advocate Bangalore city intimating that the previous order on the subject cannot be modified.

Memo No 565 dt 31.10.1935 to the Mysore Commissioner forwarding copy of the above for his information —

C.S 2/11/35

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18 7 35

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Petition of 18.10.35 filed by Mr. Ethirajalu Naidu for Mr. S. V. Ranga Rao Advocate for the Petitioner Mr. Lord Balamukundadas, Son of Lord Govindas No. 442. Mint Street. Sowcarpet. Madras stating that the local authorities are preparing to sell the garden and other Mantaps of Sri Lakshmi Venkateswaraswami Temple for lease in public auction and to perform the Ashtabandhana Ceremony to Sri Jalakandeswara Swami and praying for a stay of the execution of the order pending the disposal of this petition of 15/7/35

(1) Minute of the First Member on the P. & D.: —

"Gen. Sec. W.C. for note".

(2) The entire connected File (D. No. 6 Containing the petn. referred to in para 1 of The P. & D was sent to M.C. & G.O. for an expression of his opinion. (G.O. No. 129 of 18.9.35) & the return of the same is awaited.

(3) Arrangements have been

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7 35

of the Garden belonging to the Temple & of the Mantaps within the premises of the Temple & also of the steps intended to be taken for the performance of Ashtabandhana Ceremony to Sri Jalakandeswara. Swamis repd in para 3 & 4 of the O.D.

Temple No repn or a report has so far been received here from the M.: he is competent to order these if he chooses to do so.

4) The petr prays for a stay of the order of the kind as he intends having the Ashtabandhana Ceremony performed by him self. pending the disposal of the petn of 15/7/35.

5) If appd, the M.C. will be asked to expedite the report of the file sent to him on 18/9/35 & to hold in abeyance the proceedings of the kind contemplated upon the petn pending final orders on the petn of 15.7.35 repd in para 1 of the P. & O.

6) Submitted for orders —

Gn 22/10/35 The manager of the Temple was Mr. Lodd Govindas

Re: Sri Laboor Venkatachalam and Brahmin Temple, Hanumakonda

Serial No.

whom the father of the petitioner. The petitioners seems to have filed a suit in the Madras High Court against his father for partition of his estate as stated in the main file. It is not known to whom the managership of the temple will be assigned after the partition of the estate. It is therefore a point for consideration whether Mr. Lodd Balamukunda Doss has got any claim to speak on behalf of the manager of the temple.

23.10.35.

8) This may perhaps be sent to R.C. in Court with a request that he may return the file already sent to him vide 8/9/35 along with his opinion. In the new file the opinion of the Law Secretary can be seen 23/10/35

A

Gen: Secy

9) For perusal with reference to minute dated yesterday in the file, placed below

23/10

First Member

23/10

23/10

23/10

A/S for action as at R in his note above

23/10

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18 7 35

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

Re. appointment of Sri Lakora Venkatakrishnamma Garuvaru
Temple - Chickballapur Range &c.

No. of 1935-6

General and Revenue Secretariat

Forwarded with Compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner for favour of immediately returning the File sent herto U.O. No. 1207 18-9-35 along with this note an expression of his opinion

U.O No 1807 35-2
23-10-35

By order
B Muthuswamy
23/10/35
for General Secretary to Govt.
23/10/35

GENERAL OFFICE
24 OCT. 1935

The main file with the opinion of the undersigned is herewith returned with compliments.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
No. H C 32
24 OCT. 1935

HC 32/35-36

24-10-1935.

A Chambers
Muzrai Commissioner.

MO file
2 to 10/35

25 OCT. 1935

Refer is invited to the note on Memoni file placed below,

First Member)

No 2590
25/10

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER
25 OCT. 1935
2590

See orders on the linked file
Muz 1-35-7-

B
24/10/35



Mens D. No. 69 35-36
Mens 1-25-5

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE.

Bangalore.

Petition No. of 1934-35.

Presented by Mr. S. V. Ranga Rao
Govt Pleader. 15/7/35
Mr. G.S

The humble Petition of Lodd Balmukundoss Govindoss, son of Lodd Govindoss, residing at No.442 Mint Street, Madras:---

The petitioner submits this application in connection with the management of the Sri Lodd Doss Venkataramana Swami Temple in Jamkhanpet, Bangalore City. The facts of the case will be clear from the Muzrai Commissioner's Order C.No.2209 of 1928-29 dated the 5th day of September 1930 and Government Order in Muzrai Appeal No. 1* of 1931-32.

The fact that the Temple was founded by the petitioner's ancestors and that the family had been in management of it for a long time has already been found by Government and needs no reiteration. The Inam land in Kadagihalli in the Bangalore Taluk was purchased by an ancestor of his and endowed for the benefit of the Temple. With a view to prevent its alienation, the land was at the request of such ancestor treated as Devadayam at the Inam Settlement and it is superfluous to add that this does not - strictly partake of the nature of a Gift by the - Government. Whatever might have been the origin of

the Inam and the circumstances under which a cash grant was endowed to the Temple, the petitioner does not propose to contest the point or to ask for a differential treatment than that accorded to Temples coming within the category of Muzrai Institutions. As one interested in the well being of this ancient Temple and of its further development, the petitioner accepts the prerogative of Government to govern the institutions and its concerns under the provisions of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulations, subject to such recognition of the family hug as the Government thinks fit. The petitioner however begs leave to point out that the assumption of the management of the Temple directly by Government has entirely disconnected the Temple from the family, one of the noble and premier families in Madras and as a scion of the family, the petitioner most intensely feels for the previous mismanagement and neglect of Temple affairs and earnestly and sincerely wishes to make amends to the best of his abilities.

The Order passed by the Muzrai Commissioner in Muz.C.No.2209/28-29 dated 5-9-1930 and subsequently confirmed by Government stipulates the following conditions as conditions precedent for recognition of the claim of the family for the managership of the Temple.

(1) That no claim to absolute ownership over the Temple and their properties will be advanced.

(2) That the premises will be cleared of the inmates and retained for the benefit of the Temple.

(3) That the Ashtabandana of Sri Jalakanteswara should be arranged for and

(4) That arrangement for constructing shops in the vacant sites belonging to the Temple should be made.

As regards (1) petitioner begs to refer to the statements made above and to assure Government that he will abide by those conditions both in spirit and in action.

(2) Government have already taken action and it will be first concern of the petitioner to pursue the same policy.

(3) The petitioner undertakes to perform the necessary Ashtabandana and consecration ceremonies in conformity with the Agma Sastras within six months from the date he is placed in charge of the institution.

(4) As regards condition No.4, the petitioner begs to refer to the letter written by Messrs Srinivasa Raghavan & Thiagarajan, Advocates of Madras in the Month of October 1933.

The petitioner states that there is now pending in the High Court a partition suit between him and his father Lodd Govind Doss in respect of the family properties including the right of the family to the management of this Temple and other charities.

The petitioner proposes that in view of the order of the Government to take up the management of the Temple in their own hands, and the neglect of his father and the other members of the family to preserve the connection of the family with the Temple, to apply to the Court for the allotment of a definite sum out of the funds in Court for the purpose of being utilised for the Temple; before doing so, it is necessary to obtain an order from the Government that they will be willing to consider the claims of the petitioner to take part in the management of the affairs of the Institution.

The petitioner therefore reiterates his request for a communication from the Government to the above effect.

The petitioner assures the Government that the construction of the shops in the vacant sites belonging to the Temple proposed to be built and the upper story proposed to be constructed will be the absolute property of the Temple and the income therefrom will be utilised exclusively for the benefit of the Institution. The upper story will be reserved for the occupation of the members of the family when they visit Bangalore in the course of the management, and they shall not claim any proprietory interest therein.

The petitioner begs to state that if supported by a communication from the Government as stated above, he will be able as expeditiously as possible to obtain

from the fund in Court a sum of Rs 20,000/-, Rs5,000/- of which will be founded for the benefit of the Temple and the balance for the construction of shops and improvements in the Temple.

The petitioner, therefore, prays that in view of the above circumstances and the assurance given by him that the previous orders in the matter may be reconsidered and that he and his heirs in succession may be recognised as sole Dharmakarthas under Rule 6 (II) of the Rules published in G.O.No.1933-4/Muz.226-26-6 dated 21--4--1926, thus giving an opportunity to the petitioner to preserve the long connection of the family with the Temple and also to give him the opportunity to effect the improvements etc in furtherance of the wishes of his ancestors and maintain the institution and the services therein in a satisfactory ~~xxxxxx~~ and efficient condition.

Flag C (page)

Dated, 11 th April 1935.

S.V. Ranga Rao Advocate for petitioner. 15/7/35.

Lodd Balamukunda Petitioner.

Before the Governmen

His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore, Bangalore.

Petition No. of 1935.

Lodd Balmukundoss. Petitione

Before the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore

~~In the High Court of Judicature at Madras.~~

~~Ordinary~~ Original Civil Jurisdiction.

~~C. S. No.~~ — of 193

~~O~~. P. No. of 1935-36

en

Lodd Balmukund Doss — ~~Plaintiff.~~ Petitioner

and

~~Defendant.~~





~~I~~/We Lodd Balmukund Doss the ~~Plaintiff~~/Defendant Petitioner abovenamed do appoint and retain Mr. ~~K. Bhashyam~~ S V Ranga Rao Kadam B A, B L, Advocate, Chicacole, B my/our Counsel to appear in the above cause and on my/our behalf to conduct the same and all proceedings therein before and after decree. He is also authorised to appoint other Counsel to act on his behalf.

at Madras, this 11th day of ~~July~~ April 1935.

M Ramakrishna.
Advocate. 11.4.35.
Madras.

Lodd Balamukunda.

~~Plaintiff~~ Petitioner
~~Defendant.~~

Accepted:—The address for service of all for notices and processes is at ~~No.~~ 31, Law Chambers, High Court.

15/7/ .. 1935.

S. V. Ranga Rao Kadam.

Counsel for Petitioner ~~Plaintiff.~~
~~Defendant.~~

ORIGINAL SIDE.

High Court, Madras.

C. S. No. of 193

O. P. No. of 193

Plaintiff.

Defendant.

V A K A L A T

Mr. K. BHASHYAM

Counsel for *Plaintiff.* / *Defendant*



GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
444
22. OCT. 1935
MUZRAI BRANCH

Muz I 35-6

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

OFFICE OF THE
21 OCT. 1935
2426

Muzrai Appeal No. 39 of ~~1935-36~~.

PETITIONER:-- Lodd Balamukundas, son of Lodd Govindas, No.442, Mint Street, Sowkarpet, Madras.

******

The Petitioner abovenamed m o s t respectfully begs to state as follows:--

1. The Petitioner has submitted an Application to Government on 15.7.35 in connection with the management of the Sri Laldas Venkataramanaswamy Temple, Jamkhanpet, Bangalore City. The previous facts of the Case will be clear from the Muzrai Commissioner's Order C. No. 2209 of 1928-29 dated 5th Septr., 1930 and Government Order in Muzrai Appeal No. 1 of 1931-32.

2. The fact, that the above Temple was founded by the Petitioner's ancestors and that his family has been in charge of it for a long time, has already been admitted by Government and needs no reiteration.

3. The

3. The Petitioner learns that the Local Authorities are preparing to sell the Garden and other Mantapams of the Temple for Lease in public auction and to perform the Ashtabandhana Ceremony to the Jalakanteswara Swamy located in the above Temple. The Mantapams may be bid in auction by the other Caste people for Lease, and in that case will be highly improper.

4. Therefore, the Petitioner begs to state that if the above things are carried out by Government, the Petitioner intensely feels that the position and prestige of one of the most noble and premier families in Madras will suffer to a great extent, and, as a scion of that family, he, the Petitioner, intends to carry out the above Ashtabandhana Ceremony himself and to improve the Temple in consonance with the avowed wishes of the Illustrious Ancestors of the Petitioner. This fact has been duly mentioned in the Application which runs as follows:-

Para 4 (3):- "The Petitioner undertakes to perform the necessary Ashtabandhana Ceremony as soon as he is placed in charge of the Institution."

5. The status of the Petitioner has already been explained in the above Application.

6. In view of the aforesaid circumstances

circumstances, and in the interests of Justice and religious Faith, the Petitioner prays - that, pending the disposal of the Petition, the execution of the Order in question be kindly directed to be S T A Y E D

Bangalore,
Dated 18-9-1935.

Vedd Bira mitteedar
PETITIONER.

Sa. Ethirajulu Naidu
for Mr: S.V. Ranga Rao
ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONER.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
460
24 OCT 1935
MUZRAIE

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Muzarai Petition No. 39 of 1935 - 36.

Petitioner :-

Lcao Balamukundass, S/O Lodd Govindoss
"Mukunda Villas" 442 Mint Street,
MADRAS.

GENERAL SECTION
23 OCT. 1935

In continuation of the petition for stay the petitioner begs to state as follows :—

1. The Petitioner has applied to Government to reconsider their Order in regard to the management of the affairs of Lal Dass Venkataramanaswami Temple, Gymkhana Pet Bangalore City, a temple built and endowed by the ancestors of the petitioner The said petition is under the consideration of the benign Government.

2. The Amildar, Bangalore City in the meanwhile has issued notices ~~from~~ for the performance of Asthabandana puja in the temple under his orders. (copy of which is produced) A wall of the temple is also being demolished and in another wall a door-way is sought to be fixed.

3. Petitioner humbly begs to state that it is necessary to maintain the status quo pending final orders of Government on petitioner's application in Muzarai Petition No. 39 of 35 - 36.

The petitioner therefore prays for urgent orders that further proceedings in regard to the management of the affairs of the above temple may be stayed pending disposal of Muzarai Petition No.39 of 35 - 36

for Sri Raja Rao
Adv for Petr
23/10/35

Put up.

Hears in para (2) before write the remarks
On receipt of the U-O- file from the M.C.
(U-O No 12 of 35-36 & 18.9-35-L No 18 & 23.10-35)
is ~~this~~ Please carry out the General Secretary's
U/C orders as can as possible. It would be
discourteous to the General Secretary to
that you will not carry out his orders in the
matter referred to by you happens.
The file has been received from the M.C. 25/

॥ ಶ್ರೀ ॥

ಧರಣಾಜ್ಞನುಸಾರಮುತಂ ವರಂ ಪರದ ಗ್ರಯ ಕಂಬರ್ಗಿಜ್ಞಕರಂ। ಸುಗ ಲೀಕೃತಮುಬುಜ ಪತ್ರನೃತಂಕಯೋ ಸಕಲಾಗಮಸೂಲರವಂ॥

ಶ್ರೀ ತ್ರಿಪುರಸುಂದರೀಸಮೇತ ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರೇಶ್ವರಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನ

ಮಾ ರಾ ಶ್ರೀ ಯವರ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮಕ್ಕ

ಶ್ರೀಮದಖಂಡ ಮಹೀಮಂಡಲ ಮಂದನಾಯಮಾನ ಕರ್ಣಾಟಕ ಸಿಹ್ನಾಸನಾದೀಶ್ವರ ಶ್ರೀಕರ ಮಕರ ಮತ್ಸ್ಯ ಹನುಮನ್ಗರುಡ ಗಂಡಭೇರುಂಡಾನ್ಯನೇಕ ಬಿರುದಾಂಕಿತ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹೀಶೂರ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನ **ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾ ರಾಜೇಂದ್ರವಡೆಯರ್ ಬಹದ್ದೂರ್,** ಜೆ ಸಿ ಎಸ್ ಐ ಜಿ ಸಿ ಇ ಯವರಿಂದ ಪರಿಪಾಲಿತವಾದ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣಪುರಿಯಂಬ ಹೆಸರಿನಿಂದ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧವಾದ **ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು** ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧವಾದ **ಶ್ರೀ ಲಾಲ್‌ದಾಸ್ ವೆಂಕಟರಮಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀಗಂಗಾಧರೇಶ್ವರಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಿಗೆ,** ಸ್ವಸ್ತಿ ಶ್ರೀವಿಜಯಾಭ್ಯುದಯ ಶಾಲಿವಾಹನ ಶಕ ವರ್ಷಂಗಳ್ ಎಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಶ್ರೀಯುವನಾಮ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಕಾರ್ತೀಕ ಶುದ್ಧ ಪೌರ್ಣಮಿ ಬುಧವಾರ ಅಂದ ಇದೇ **1935** ನೇ ಇಸವಿ **ನವಂಬರು ತಿಂಗಳು 3**ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಸೂರ್ಯೋದಯಾದಿ ೧೫ ಗಳಿಗೆಗಳ್ಮೇತೆ ಅಂದರೆ (12ಪಂಬಗೆ) ಸ್ಥಿರ ಲಗ್ನದ ಶುಭ ಮಕರ ಲಗ್ನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾಣಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಾಪನೆ ಮಹಾಕುಂಭಾಭಿಷೇಕ ಮಹೋತ್ಸವವನ್ನು ಪಂಚ ದಿನ ಪರ್ಯಂತರವಾಗಿ ನಡಸುವಂತೆ ದೇವರ ಪ್ರೇರಣೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಗವನ ಕೃಪಾತ್ರರಾದ ತಾವುಗಳಿಗೂ ಪುತ್ರಮಿತ್ರ ಬಂಧುಗಳೊಡನೆ ದಯಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಭಗವತ್ಕೈಂಕರ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ **ಯಥೋಚಿತ** ದ್ರವ್ಯ ನೀಡಾಯಸುಮಾಡಿ ದೇವರ ಅನುಗ್ರಹಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾತ್ರರಾಗಿ ಅಮಂದಾನಂದ ತುಂದಿಲ ಹೃದಯಾರವಿಂದ ರಾಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ

ತಾರೀಖು	31—10—35	ಕಾರ್ತೀಕ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ೪	ಗುರುವಾರ	ರಾತ್ರಿ 7 ರಿಂದ 10 ಪುಣ್ಯಾಹವಾಚನ, ಆಚಾರ್ಯ ಪೂಜೆ, ಗೃರುಣ ಮೃತ್ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣ ಮತ್ತು ಅಙ್ಕುರಾರ್ಪಣ
,,	1—11—35	,,	ಶುಕ್ರವಾರ	ಪ್ರಾತಃಕಾಲ 6 ರಿಂದ 11 ರಕ್ಷಾಬಂಧನ ವಾಸ್ತ್ರಾರಾಧನೆ ವಾಸ್ತುಹೋಮ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಜೆಗೆ ಸಿಂಬರುದ್ದಿ
,,	,,	,,	,,	ರಾತ್ರಿ 6 ರಿಂದ 10 ಶಾಲಾಪ್ರವೇಶ ತಲಶ ಸ್ಥಾಪನ ಲಘ್ನ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಾಪನ
,,	2—11—35	,,	ಶನಿವಾರ	ಪ್ರಾತಃಕಾಲ 6 ರಿಂದ 10 ನಿತ್ಯಹೋಮ ವಿಶೇಷ ಹೋಮಾದಿಗಳು
,,	,,	,,	,,	ರಾತ್ರಿ 6 ರಿಂದ 12 ಲಂಗ ಹೋಮಾದಿಗಳು ಅಷ್ಟಬಂಧನ ನಮ್ಮೆರೆ
,,	3—11—35	,,	ಭಾನುವಾರ	ಪ್ರಾತಃಕಾಲ 6 ರಿಂದ 12 ಮೂರ್ತಿ ಹೋಮ ನಾಡೀ ಸಂಧಾನ ಪ್ರಾಣಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಾಪನೆ ಕಲಾಹೋಮ ಸ್ಥಾಪಿತ ಪ್ರತಹೋಮ ಪೂರ್ಣಾಹುತಿ ನ್ಯಾಸಾದಿಗಳು ಕುಂಭಾಭಿಷೇಚನ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ
,,	,,	,,	,,	ರಾತ್ರಿ 6 ರಿಂದ 10 ಸಹಸ್ರ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ್ತಿ ಪುಂಪ್ರಸಾದ ಪ್ರಸಾದ ಸ್ವೀಕರಣ
,,	4—11—35	,,	ಸೋಮವಾರ	ಪ್ರಾತಃಕಾಲ 7 ರಿಂದ 10 ವಸಂತಪೂಜೆ ಅಭಿಷೇಕ ವಸಂತಪೂಜೆ

ಪ್ರಾಣಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಾ ಕುಂಭಾಭಿಷೇಕದಿನ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣಾರ ಸಂತರ್ಪಣೆ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ
1—10—1935

K R. SEETHARAMA IYENGAR,
Amildar

ಬಿ ಜಿ ಎ ಪ್ರಸ್ ಪ್ರೆಸ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ



Before Hon'ble
The Maharaja's Pleader.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
474/1
30 OCT 1935
MADRAS

Iyer

In the Court of

Bangalore.

Misc. Pet No. 39 OF 1935-1936.

Please place in the file re the Temp

Petr	Vs.	
Lodd Balamurlu-		
mudaroo.		

I the Petr above named, do hereby nominate and appoint MR. T. S. RAMASWAMI IYER, B.A., B.L., ADVOCATE in the above suit and do hereby empower the said ADVOCATE to appear for and on my behalf in the above suit, to take all steps which may be necessary on my behalf arising out of or in connection with the above suit either during progress or after its decision, to sign and subscribe their names to any document which they may deem proper, to execute any decree that may be passed in my favour and to receive out of the Court any refund of money which may be lodged or become payable or receivable on my behalf and on receipt thereof to grant receipt or other sufficient discharges for the same, to withdraw, compromise or refer to arbitration the said suit, to appoint one or more substitutes and to act and perform all other matters and things relating to the same and to act as fully and effectually to all interest and purposes as I might do if personally present and did the same. And I do hereby agree to pay all fees, costs, charges and expenses which may be incurred and to allow, ratify, and confirm whatsoever the said ADVOCATE shall lawfully do or cause or procure to be done by the virtue of these presents.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand this day of

or 193

Lodd Balamurlu

ACCEPTED
T S Ramaswamilu
Advocate for Petr.

Signed before me by the executant.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No S Bl4 Mg 1-35-7 Bangalore Dated

ENDORSEMENT

r. oud Balamukundadas,
c/o r.T. .Jamaswami Iyr, . ., . .,
Advocate, Bangalore City.

ith reference to his petition dt. 1
1935 filed by r.V. anga ao Naik, Asst. I
that he and his heirs in succession by
harmakarthas of the temple of al o r.
Temple, Chickpet, Bangalore City a
October 1935 and 23rd October 1935 fil r.E
Naidu, Advocate, in the matter of Sathaar a
ceremony of Sri Jalakanteswaraswami i th t ,
Mr.Balamukundadas is informed tha
the subject cannot be no ifi .

By Order,

s/- .
Secretary to

emo No. S65/Mg Sj 35(1-10-35
Copy of the above is for ar , to

compliments to the Kuzrai Commissioner, with reference to his Unofficial Note H.C.32/35-36 dated 24th October 1935.

By Order,

for General Secretary to Government.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Misc
File No. 1—35
Serial No. 7

4 cards

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No 4-64

To Mr Lord Bala mukund & Co
c/o Mr T. S. Ramaswami
Advocate. Kumbakonam
City.

Lord refers to his pet. of 15/7/35
stating Mr S. V Ranga Rao
Kudom Advocate praying
that he & his heirs in succession
may be recognised as Dharmakartas
of the temple of Adivasa Sri
Venkatachalapathi Swami
Chitra Pet A-K-City & pays
Rs 23/10/35 Rs 15 to 35-/ stated by Mr. E Thirupathi
naidu Advocate in the
matter of Abhisheka Mahotsava
Ceremony of Sri Balasubramanya
Swami in the temple,

List of enclosures ....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Sir Cunliffe

that the previous objection

the Judicial Committee be

modified



For Consul

Reference No.

Going to India with reference to this

& to Home Ltr 35-36 dated 24.10.35

Consul

GJ

30/10